## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS EL PASO DIVISION

ELIZABETH MARQUEZ,		§	CAUSE NO. EP-19-CV-341-KC
Plaintiff,		§ §	
<b>v.</b>		8 § s	
TE CONNECTIVITY CORPORATION,		<i>©</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Defendant.		8 §	
VERDICT FORM			
We the jury unanimously find:			
Claim 1: Failure to Accommodate			
Did Defendant TE Connectivity Elizabeth Marquez's disability?	Corporati	ion f	ail to reasonably accommodate Plaintiff
Yes		_	No
Claim 2: Discrimination			
Has Plaintiff Elizabeth Marquez proved that Defendant TE Connectivity Corporation terminated her employment because of her disability?			
Yes		_	No
Claim 3: Retaliation (ADA)			
Has Plaintiff Elizabeth Marquez proved that she would not have been terminated but for her request for a reasonable accommodation?			
Yes		_	No

## **Claim 4: Retaliation (TCHRA)**

Has Plaintiff Elizabeth Marquez proved that she would not have been terminated but for alerting Defendant to her reasonable belief that Defendant was engaging in possibly unlawful discrimination?

Dated this  $\frac{18}{100}$  day of August, 2022, at El Paso, Texas.